

Corrections, Feedback and Review Policy

How the public can challenge an error and how ADCN keeps a visible correction record.

ADCN (Albanian Diaspora Civic Network) is a volunteer-led civic network, not a registered organisation or separate legal person. **ADCN** uses its own governance, safeguards and public-facing standards. References to “**ADCN**” in this document describe the network’s agreed rules and working practices.

PRINCIPLE

Accuracy includes correcting the record when new evidence or a mistake changes what readers should understand. ADCN welcomes evidence-based corrections and practical feedback, including from people who disagree with its conclusions.

WHAT TO SEND

Correction requests can be sent to **team@albaniandiasporacivicnetwork.com**. Where possible, identify the publication or post, the disputed sentence or claim, why it is inaccurate or misleading, and the strongest source supporting the correction.

TYPE	TYPICAL RESPONSE
Minor editorial	Typographical, formatting or link fix; no correction note normally needed.
Clarification	Wording improved without changing the underlying factual conclusion; note where the change is material.
Material correction	A factual error or omission that changes meaning; correct visibly and record what changed.
Withdrawal	Content cannot be responsibly maintained, or privacy/safety/legal risk requires removal; preserve an internal record of the reason.

REVIEW

The correction should be reviewed by someone other than the original author where practical, especially where the issue is disputed or material. For legal, scientific, statistical or reputational issues, seek relevant specialist review where needed.

VISIBILITY AND PROPAGATION

Material corrections should be visible to a reasonable reader. Where the same error appears on the website, a PDF, social media and partner copy, ADCN should make reasonable efforts to correct each affected version or notify the partner. Silent replacement should be avoided for material changes unless privacy or safety requires otherwise.

VERSION HISTORY

Substantive documents should keep a version/date record. A correction note should state the date and nature of the change without unnecessarily repeating harmful personal information. Earlier versions may be retained internally for audit and evidence where lawful.


FEEDBACK AND REVIEW

Feedback that is not a factual correction may still improve accessibility, clarity, process, safety or fairness. Recurring feedback should be reviewed for patterns and may trigger a policy, template, training or workflow change.

DISAGREEMENT

A correction request is not accepted merely because someone dislikes ADCN's analysis or recommendation. Where facts remain disputed, the publication should represent the uncertainty or competing evidence fairly rather than presenting a contested proposition as settled.

This policy is a ADCN governance standard. It does not create a separate legal personality for the network or replace obligations imposed by applicable law.



UPDATED 2026